UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,646 01/06/2006 Francesco Pessolano		NL030809US1	1901	
65913 NXP , B.V.	7590 07/16/201	EXAMINER		
NXP INTELLE	ECTUAL PROPERTY	PETRANEK, JACOB ANDREW		
M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY	DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, CA	95131	2183		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/563,646	PESSOLANO, FRANCESCO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JACOB PETRANEK	2183	

	0/1008121	TO WELL	2100	
The MAILING DATE of this communica	tion appears on the c	over sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 June 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE	THIS APPLICATION IN	CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but price application, applicant must timely file one of the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Noti for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods: 	following replies: (1) arce of Appeal (with appe	n amendment, affidavi al fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, wh with 37 CFR 41.31; or (ich places the 3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from	the mailing date of the fin	al rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing dance on event, however, will the statutory period for re Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either	ply expire later than SIX M box (a) or (b). ONLY CHE	IONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the punder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF NOTICE OF APPEAL	. The date on which the poperiod of extension and the date of the shortened statu Office later than three more	corresponding amount tory period for reply original torus	of the fee. The appropriate nally set in the final Office	e extension fee action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A bridge	ef in compliance with 37	7 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	r any extension thereof	(37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final (a) They raise new issues that would require	further consideration ar			ause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see N (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the applica appeal; and/or 		ppeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying the	e issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without ca NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 3			ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37	CFR 1.121. See attacl	hed Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (P	TOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) w non-allowable claim(s).			•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendm how the new or amended claims would be reject The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	ted is provided below o		I be entered and an exp	olanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final because applicant failed to provide a showing o was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e 	f good and sufficient rea			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the da entered because the affidavit or other evidence showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is 	failed to overcome all r	ejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An € REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	explanation of the status	s of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached	d.
11. The request for reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NOT p	lace the application ir	n condition for allowance	e because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure State</i> 13. Other:	ement(s). (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)		
	/.lacr	ob Petranek/		
		niner, AU 2183		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments in claims 3-6 and 16 require further search and/or consideration. The IDS wasn't considered because only 1.17(p) fee was provided with the filing of the IDS. An IDS filed after a final action and before an issue fee payment requires both a 1.97(e) statement and the 1.17(p) fee. See MPEP 609.01 [R-7].